# New data on uranyl sulphate mineral shumwayite from Jáchymov - a Raman spectroscopy study

JIŘÍ SEJKORA<sup>\*</sup> A JIŘÍ ČEJKA

Department of Mineralogy and Petrology, National Museum, Cirkusová 1740, 193 00 Praha 9 - Horní Počernice; \*e-mail: jiri\_sejkora@nm.cz

SEJKORA J, ČEJKA J (2019) New data on uranyl sulphate mineral shumwayite from Jáchymov - a Raman spectroscopy study. Bull Mineral Petrolog 27(2): 411-418 ISSN 2570-7337

### Abstract

Jáchymov (Czech Republic) is the second locality of hydrated uranyl-sulphate mineral shumwayite in the world. Shumwayite occurs as rich dark orange crystalline coatings composed of crystals - thin elongated blades up to 100 µm in size, but usually as thin tables only of about 20 µm in size, on strongly weathered fragment of gangue. It is associated with rietveldite, rozenite and as yet unnamed Al-uranyl sulphate, uranyl phosphate and Fe-Zn uranyl sulphate-vanadate. Individual shumwayite crystals are translucent to transparent with vitreous lustre. It does not exhibit fluorescence under either long- or short-wave ultraviolet radiation. The quantitative chemical composition of shumwayite sample is in line with the ideal stoichiometry of UO<sub>2</sub>:SO<sub>4</sub> = 1:1; but also minor contents of Fe and Zn were identified. Shumwayite is monoclinic, the space group  $P2_1/c$ , with the unit-cell parameters refined from X-ray powder diffraction data: a 6.738(2), b 12.482(5), c 16.865(6) Å,  $\beta$  91.00(3)° and V 1418.3(7) Å<sup>3</sup>. Raman spectroscopy documented the presence of both  $(UO_2)^{2+}$  and  $(SO_4)^{2-}$  units in the crystal structure of shumwayite. Multiple bands connected with vibrations of water molecules suggest that molecular water is involved in different coordination environments in the structure of shumwayite with distinct hydrogen-bond strengths.

Key words: shumwayite, uranyl sulphate, unit-cell parameters, chemical composition, Raman spectroscopy, Jáchymov ore district

Obdrženo 12. 9. 2019; přijato 15. 11. 2019

### Introduction

Uranyl sulphates are common supergene alteration products formed by oxidation–hydration weathering of uraninite (Plášil 2014) associated with sulphides, such as pyrite or chalcopyrite (Finch, Murakami 1999; Krivovichev, Plášil 2013). More specifically, in old mining workings, oxidative weathering of sulphides generates acid solutions that react with primary uraninite to form the uranyl sulphates (Fernandes et al. 1995; Brugger et al. 2003; Plášil et al. 2014).

Shumwayite,  $[(UO_2)(SO_4)(H_2O)_2]_2 \cdot H_2O$ , has been described recently by Kampf et al. (2017a) as a new mineral from the Green Lizard and Giveaway-Simplot mines, Red Canyon, San Juan County, Utah (USA). The chemical compound had been known before as synthetic one (Van der Putten, Loopstra 1974; Vlček et al. 2009). At type localities, shumwayite forms transparent pale greenish-yellow elongated prismatic crystals up to 0.3 mm in length with bright greenish white fluorescence under both long- and short-wave ultraviolet radiation (Kampf et al. 2017a).

A detailed study of historical specimens labelled "*zi-ppeite*" from the Jáchymov ore district, deposited in the mineralogical collections of the National Museum in Prague, has brought many new information for mineralogy of uranium, including description of new minerals, such as jáchymovite (Čejka et al. 1996) or rietveldite (Kampf et al. 2017b). This paper aims to summarize results of the complex mineralogical study including Raman spectroscopy of new determined occurrence of shumwyaite from the second locality in the world, Jáchymov (Czech Republic).

#### Occurrence and specimen description

Shumwyaite was identified on one historical museum specimen from the Jáchymov ore district (formerly St. Joachimsthal), Krušné hory Mountains. Jáchymov lies approximately 20 km to the north from Karlovy Vary, northwestern Bohemia, Czech Republic. The Jáchymov ore district is a typical example of Ag+As+Co+Ni+Bi and U vein-type hydrothermal mineralization. The ore veins cut a complex of medium-grade metasedimentary rocks of Cambrian to Ordovician age, in the envelope of a Variscan Karlovy Vary granite pluton. The majority of the ore minerals were deposited during Variscan mineralization cycle from mesothermal fluids (Ondruš et al. 2003a,b,d). Primary and supergene mineralization in this district resulted in extraordinarily varied mineral associations; more than 440 mineral species have been reported from there (Ondruš et al. 1997a,b and 2003c,d; Hloušek et al. 2014).

Shumwayite occurs on a strongly supergene altered gangue in association with rietveldite (Kampf et al. 2017b), rozenite and as yet unnamed Al-uranyl sulphate, uranyl phosphate and Fe-Zn uranyl sulphate-vanadate. Shumwayite forms rich dark orange crystalline coatings (Fig. 1) composed of crystals (Figs. 2 - 3); thin elongated blades up to 100  $\mu$ m in size (Fig. 4) but usually thin tables only about 20  $\mu$ m in size (Fig. 5). Individual crystals are translucent to transparent with vitreous lustre. It does not exhibit fluorescence under either long- or short-wave ultraviolet radiation.

The observed differences in color (greenish yellow vs. dark orange), crystal shapes (prismatic vs. thin tables



Fig. 1 Orange crystalline coatings of shumwayite on strongly altered gangue, Jáchymov, field of view 1.6 mm, photo J. Sejkora.



**Fig. 2** Orange thin elongated blade crystals of shumwayite on strongly altered gangue, Jáchymov, field of view 0.4 mm, photo J. Sejkora.



Fig. 3 Crystalline coatings of shumwayite on strongly altered gangue, Jáchymov, field of view 0.7 mm, BSE photo L. Vrtiška and L. Váchová.

and blades) and fluorescence under UV radiation (bright-greenish white from the type locality vs. absence of fluorescence in case of Jáchymov) of shumwayite from type locality and studied sample from Jáchymov may be caused by minor Fe and Zn contents determined in the Jáchymov sample (see below).

## **Electron microanalysis**

Samples of shumwayite were analysed with a Cameca SX-100 electron microprobe (Masaryk University, Brno) operating in the wavelength-dispersive mode with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a specimen current of 2 nA, and a beam diameter of 10 µm. The following lines and standards were used: Ka: almandine (Fe), gahnite (Zn), sanidine (Si),  $ScVO_4$  (V), spessartine (Mn), SrSO<sub>4</sub> (S) and fluorapatite (P);  $M\alpha$ : uranophane (U). Peak counting times (CT) were 20 s for main elements and 60 s for minor elements; CT for each background was onehalf of the peak time. The raw intensities were converted to the concentrations automatically using the PAP (Pouchou, Pichoir 1985) matrix-correction software. The elements AI, As, Ba, Ca, Co, F, K, Mg, Na, Ni and Pb were sought, but found to be below the detection limit (about 0.05-0.10 wt. %). Water content could not be analysed directly because of the minute amount of material available. The H<sub>a</sub>O content was confirmed by Raman spectroscopy and calculated by stoichiometry of ideal formula.

Chemical composition of shumwayite sample (Table 1) basically agree to stoichiometry UO2:SO2 = 1:1. Lower analytical totals reflect an instability of this phase under the electron beam, similarly as Kampf et al. (2017a) described for sample from type locality. The observed minor contents of Fe (up to 0.25 apfu), Zn (up to 0.19 apfu) and Mn (up to 0.05 apfu) are to be discussed; besides their possible incorporation as a part of the crystal structure of shumwayite they can be caused by intimate intergrowths with other uranyl sulphates (e.g. rietveldite). The anion sites are dominated by S and only partly subsituted by Si, V and P up to 0.07 - 0.14 apfu.

## X-ray powder diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (National Museum, Prague) with a solid-state 1D LynxEye detector using  $CuK_{a}$ radiation and operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. The powder patterns were collected using Bragg-Brentano geometry in the range 3 - 60° 20, in 0.01° steps with a counting time of 30 s per step. Positions and intensities of reflections were found and refined using the Pearson VII profile-shape function with the ZDS program package (Ondruš 1993) and the unit-cell parameters were refined by the least -squares algorithm implemented by Burnham (1962). The experimental powder pattern was indexed in line with the calculated values of intensities obtained from the crystal structure of shuwayite (Kampf et al. 2017a), based on Lazy Pulverix program (Yvon et al. 1977).

Fig. 4 Thin elongated blade crystals of shumwayite on strongly altered gangue, Jáchymov, field of view 230 μm, BSE photo L. Vrtiška and L. Váchová.

Fig. 5 Tiny thin tabular crystals of shumwayite on strongly altered gangue, Jáchymov, field of view 90 μm, BSE photo L.Vrtiška and L. Váchová.



Table 1 Chemical composition of shumwayite from Jáchymov (wt. %)

	mean	1	2	3	4	5
FeO	1.33	0.90	1.90	1.43	1.24	1.20
MnO	0.20	0.00	0.18	0.35	0.25	0.22
ZnO	0.93	0.38	1.63	1.41	0.78	0.46
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.28	0.00	0.39	0.89	0.14	0.00
P,0,	0.27	0.02	0.34	0.23	0.19	0.55
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.34	0.00	0.22	0.23	0.31	0.93
SŌŢ	16.29	17.70	15.97	14.90	16.82	16.06
$P_2O_5$ $V_2O_5$ $SO_3$ $UO_3$	63.20	63.82	63.18	61.15	68.14	59.74
H₂Ŏ*	9.71	9.97	9.60	9.31	9.84	9.85
total	92.55	92.78	93.41	89.88	97.70	89.02
Fe	0.172	0.113	0.247	0.192	0.158	0.153
Mn	0.026	0.000	0.024	0.047	0.032	0.028
Zn	0.106	0.042	0.188	0.167	0.088	0.052
Σ	0.304	0.156	0.459	0.407	0.278	0.234
Si	0.044	0.000	0.061	0.143	0.021	0.000
Р	0.035	0.002	0.045	0.031	0.024	0.071
V	0.034	0.000	0.023	0.025	0.031	0.093
S	1.887	1.998	1.871	1.801	1.924	1.836
Σ	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000
	2.050	2.017	2.072	2.069	2.181	1.911
H₂Ô	4.999	5.002	4.998	5.002	5.002	5.003

Mean of 5 point analyses; 1-5 point analyses; *apfu* on the base Si+P+V+S = 2;  $H_2O^*$  contents were calculated on the basis of 5  $H_2O$  molecules in ideal formula.

The experimental powder-data set given in Table 2 agrees well with the X-ray pattern calculated from the single-crystal data for shumwayite and of the natural sample from Green Lizard mine (Kampf et al. 2017a). The refined unit-cell parameters of shumwayite are compared in Table 3 with published data.

# Raman spectroscopy

The Raman spectra of studied sample were collected in the range 4000-80 cm<sup>-1</sup> using a DXR dispersive Raman Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) mounted on a confocal Olympus microscope. The Raman signal was excited by an unpolarised red 633 nm He-Ne gas laser and detected by a CCD detector. The experimental parameters were: 100x objective, 30 s exposure time, 100 exposures, 50 µm pinhole spectrograph aperture and 4 mW laser power level. The spectra were repeatedly acquired from different grains in order to obtain a representative spectrum with the best signal-to-noise ratio. The eventual thermal damage of the measured point was excluded by visual inspection of excited surface after measurement, by observation of possible decay of spectral features in the start of excitation and checking for thermal downshift of Raman lines. The instrument was set up by a softwarecontrolled calibration procedure using multiple neon emission lines (wavelength calibration), multiple polystyrene Raman bands (laser-frequency calibration) and standardized white-light sources (intensity calibration).

Spectral manipulations were performed using the Omnic 9 software (Thermo Scientific). Gaussian/Lorentzian (pseudo-Voigt) profile functions of the band-shape were used to obtain decomposed band components of the spectra. The decomposition was based on the minimization of the difference in the observed and calculated profiles until the squared correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) was greater than 0.995.

Shumwayite,  $[(UO_2)(SO_4)(H_2O)_2]_2 \cdot H_2O$ , is a monoclinic uranyl-containing mineral, the space group  $P2_1/c - C_{2h}^5$ , Z = 4. In the asymmetric part of the unit cell, there are two U sites, occupied by U<sup>6+</sup>, two S sites, occupied by S<sup>6+</sup> and five symmetrically independent water molecules bonded by hydrogen bonds. The crystal structure of shumwayite contains UO<sub>7</sub> pentagonal bipyramids (two short apical bonds of the bipyramid constitute the uranyl ion, UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>) and SO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra that link by sharing corners to form  $[(UO_2)(SO_4)(H_2O)_2]$  chains along [100]. These chains and isolated H<sub>2</sub>O groups between them are linked together by hydrogen bonds, only (Kampf et al. 2017a).

 Table 2 X-ray powder diffraction data of shumwayite from Jáchymov

						-	 -						
h	k	1	d <sub>obs.</sub>	I <sub>obs.</sub>		d <sub>calc.</sub>	h	k	1	d <sub>obs.</sub>	I <sub>obs.</sub>		d <sub>calc.</sub>
0	1	2	6.988	37		6.987	-2	1	3	2.833	6		2.836
1	1	0	5.932	22		5.929	0	0	6	2.812	26	r	2.810
0	2	1	5.849	12		5.853	-2	2	2	2.012	20	٤	2.810
-1	1	1	5.614	49		5.620	0	1	6	2.745	9		2.742
1	1	1	5.575	20		5.567	-2	2	3	2.641	23		2.639
-1	0	2	5.299	7		5.309	2	2	3	2.609	6		2.606
1	0	2	5.213	54		5.219	-2	3	1	2.595	9		2.592
0	1	3	5.128	100		5.125	2	3	1	2.580	14		2.582
0	2	2	5.016	35		5.016	-1	4	3	2.536	32		2.536
-1	1	2	4.891	23		4.885	1	4	3	2.522	11		2.521
1	1	2	4.816	27		4.815	-1	3	5	2.4536	5		2.4531
-1	2	1	4.431	19		4.432	-2	2	4	2.4400	4		2.4426
1	2	1	4.406	24		4.405	1	3	5	2.4295	10		2.4307
0	2	3	4.172	9		4.177	1	5	0	2.3407	18		2.3409
0	3	1	4.039	42		4.039	-2	4	1	2.2745	8		2.2720
0	3	2	3.735	32		3.731	-1	1	7	2.2442	17		2.2438
-1	0	4	3.601	10		3.602	0	5	4	2.1493	8		2.1480
1	0	4	3.547	15		3.546	3	1	2	2.1214	9		2.1291
1	3	0	3.537	34		3.540	-2	3	5	2.0851	7		2.0818
-1	1	4	3.454	91		3.461	-3	0	4	1.9971	9	٢	1.9966
1	1	4	3.411	17		3.411	0	2	8	1.9971	9	í	1.9970
2	0	0	3.369	49		3.369	3	3	0	1.9755	11		1.9763
-1	2	4	3.112	13		3.120	0	4	7	1.9068	18		1.9069
1	2	4	3.074	20		3.083	-1	5	6	1.8020	10		1.8041
0	4	1	3.064	17		3.068	2	1	8	1.7575	10		1.7554
2	1	2	3.023	3		3.017	-3	4	3	1.7435	7		1.7412
0	2	5	2.962	28	ſ	2.967	3	4	3	1.7277	12		1.7268
0	3	4	2.302	20	١	2.961							

Table 3 Unit-cell parameters for shumwayite (for monoclinic space group P2,/c)

		<i>a</i> [Å]	b [Å]	c [Å]	β [°]	V [Å <sup>3</sup> ]
Jáchymov	this paper	6.738(2)	12.482(5)	16.865(6)	91.00(3)	1418.3(7)
Green Lizard mine	Kampf et al. (2017a) SXRD	6.7475(2)	12.5026(3)	16.903(1)	90.919(6)	1425.8(1)
Green Lizard mine	Kampf et al. (2017a) PXRD	6.726(6)	12.493(7)	16.888(7)	91.01(4)	1419(1)
synthetic	Vlček et al. (2009)	6.7260(1)	12.4210(2)	16.8270(3)	90.781(1)	1405.66(4)

A free uranyl cation,  $(UO_2)^{2+}$ ,  $D_{ub}$ point-group symmetry, is, in general, characterized by three fundamental vibration modes: the v, symmetric \_ stretching vibration, Raman active (900-750 cm<sup>-1</sup>), the  $v_2$  ( $\delta$ ) doubly degenerate bending vibration, infrared active (300 - 200 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and the  $v_3$ antisymmetric stretching vibrations, infrared active (1000 - 850 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The lowering of the ideal symmetry (due to crystal field and so on) may cause splitting of the  $v_2$  ( $\delta$ ) vibration and Raman and infrared activation of all three vibrations (Čejka 1999; Nakamoto 2009). A free sulphate anion,  $(SO_4)^{2-}$ ,  $T_d$  point-group symmetry, is characterized by four fundamental modes: the v, symmetric stretching vibration, Raman active (~983 cm<sup>-1</sup>), the  $v_{2}(\delta)$  doubly degenerate bending vibration, Raman active (~450 cm<sup>-1</sup>), the v<sub>2</sub> triply degenerate antisymmetric stretching vibration, Raman and infrared active, (~1105 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and the  $v_{\lambda}$  ( $\delta$ ) triply degenerate bending vibration (~611 cm<sup>-1</sup>).  $T_{d}$  symmetry lowering may cause splitting of degenerate vibrations and Raman and infrared activation of all vibrations (Čeika 1999; Nakamoto 2009).

Infrared and Raman spectra of shumwayite were recently published – by Kampf et al. (2017a). The Raman spectrum of shumwayite sample from Jáchymov, recently studied, is – close to the Raman spectra of both shumwayite from Green Lizard Mine (Kampf et al. 2017a) and to its synthetic analogue (Vlček et al. 2009). Our new Raman spectrum, however, offers a better resolution and includes – also the region of vibrations of water molecules.

The full-range Raman spectrum of the studied mineral shumwayite is given in Figure 6, wavenumbers with assignments are given in Table 4. Bands of the very low intensity, located at 3521, 3421 and 3230 cm-1 (Fig. 7) are connected with the v OH stretching vibrations of hydrogen-bonded water molecules. According to the empirical relation between energy of vibration and the corresponding bond length (Libowitzky 1999), O-H×××O hydrogen-bond lengths vary approximately in the range from 2.94 to 2.71 Å. This is close to the data 3.01 - 2.72 Å inferred from the X-ray structure analysis by Kampf et al. (2017a). A very weak band at 1624 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 8), is attributed to the  $v_2$  ( $\delta$ ) bending vibrations of water molecules.

Weak bands at 1185 and 1158 et al. (2009)

 
 Table 4 Tentative assignment of Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov

box         FWH $I_{ml}$ $I_{ml}$ Red Caryon* synth.**         tentative assignment           [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]           3521         106         0.9         8.0 $Q$ OH (hydrogen bon-ded H_Q)           3230         212         0.6         12.1 $V_2$ H_QO           1185         27         3.0         7.7         1185 w         1181           1177         24         1.8         4.1 $V_2$ H_QO           1053         20         20.5         3.7         1073 s         1073           1053         20         20.5         3.7         1015 ms         1034           1024         15         10.9         1.4 $v_1$ ( $OQ_4$ ) <sup>2-</sup> 1010         17         15.4         23.6         1015 m $v_2$ ( $UQ_2$ ) <sup>2+</sup> 1010         17         15.4         23.6         1015 m $v_2$ ( $UQ_2$ ) <sup>2+</sup> 1024         15         1.9         430 m         865 m         863           865         11         10.0         10.0         865 m	Criyin	07					
3521       106       0.9       8.0       , OH (hydrogen bonded H <sub>2</sub> O)         3230       212       0.6       12.1       , V <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O         11624       49       0.6       3.4       , v <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O         1185       27       3.0       7.7       1185 w       1181         1158       27       3.6       8.9       1155 w       1181         1104       28       11.0       28.7       1100 ms       1099         1073       16       26.5       39.7       1073 s       1073         1053       20       20.5       37.6       1050 ms       1052         1034       13       17.3       21.3       1035 ms       1034         1024       15       10.9       14.8       -       v <sub>1</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2</sup> 1010       17       15.4       23.6       1015 w       v <sub>1</sub> (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 959       9       1.6       1.4       956       v <sub>1</sub> (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 865       11       10.0       0.0       865 vs       863         862       20       16.7       31.4       850 s       853       v <sub>1</sub> (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 808       23       5.0	position	FWHH	I <sub>rel.</sub>	I <sub>rel.</sub>	Red Canyon*	synth.**	tentative assignment
3421       190       0.9       12.2       V. OH (hydrogen bonded H2O)         3230       212       0.6       12.1       V. $P_2 H_2 O$ 1185       27       3.0       7.7       1185 w       1181         1185       27       3.6       8.9       1155 w       1158         1127       24       1.8       4.1       V. $P_2 O$ 1094       28       11.0       28.7       1100 ms       1009         1073       16       26.5       39.7       1073 s       1073         1053       20       20.5       37.6       1050 ms       1052         1034       13       17.3       21.3       1035 ms       1034         1024       15       10.9       14.8       V. $(SO_4)^2$ 1010       17       15.4       23.6       1015 w         959       9       1.6       1.4       956         931       21       1.1       2.6       930 vw       931         865       11       100.0       100.865 vs       863         852       20       16.7       31.4       850 s       853         865       3.2       47.7<	[cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	[cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	[height]	[area]	[cm⁻¹]	[cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	
3421       190       0.9       12.2       ded $H_2O$ 3230       212       0.6       12.1       v <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O         11624       49       0.6       3.4       v <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O         1185       27       3.0       7.7       1185 w       1181         1158       27       3.6       8.9       1155 w       1158         1127       24       1.8       4.1       v <sub>3</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2</sup> 1094       28       10.0       28.7       100 ms       10099 $v_{3}$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2</sup> 1073       16       26.5       39.7       1073 s       1073       103       102         1034       13       17.3       21.3       1035 ms       1034       13       17.5       21.3       1035 ms       1034         1024       15       10.9       14.8       956 $v_3$ (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 1010       17.5       23.6       30.1 w       931       21.6 $v_3$ (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 313       21       1.1       2.6       930 vw       931 $v_1$ (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 840       15       3.2       47.7       18.0       616       2. $v$	3521	106	0.9	8.0			
3230       212       0.6       12.1         1624       49       0.6       3.4 $v_2 H_2 O$ 1185       27       3.0       7.7       1185 w       1181         1158       27       3.6       8.9       1155 w       1158         1127       24       1.8       4.1 $v_3 (SO_4)^2$ 1094       28       11.0       28.7       1100 ms       1099         1073       16       26.5       39.7       1073 s       1073         1053       20       20.5       37.6       1050 ms       1052         1034       13       17.3       21.3       1035 ms       1034         1024       15       10.9       14.8       . $v_1 (SO_4)^2$ .         1010       17       15.4       23.6       1015 w       931         959       9       1.6       1.4       956 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^*}$ 865       11       10.0       10.0       865 s       863       863         852       20       16.7       31.4       850 s       853 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^*}$ 865       21       3.3       615 w	3421	190	0.9	12.2			
1185       27       3.0       7.7       1185 w       1181         1158       27       3.6       8.9       1155 w       1158         1127       24       1.8       4.1 $v_3 (SO_4)^{2^2}$ 1094       28       11.0       28.7       1100 ms       1099 $v_3 (SO_4)^{2^2}$ 1073       16       26.5       39.7       1073 s       1073       1034         1053       20       20.5       37.6       1050 ms       1052         1034       13       17.3       21.3       1035 ms       1034         1024       15       10.9       14.8       v, (SO_4)^2.         1010       17       15.4       23.6       1015 w         959       9       1.6       1.4       956         931       21       1.1       2.6       930 vw       931 $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 865       11       10.0       100.0       865 vs       863       853 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 840       15       33.2       47.7       118       850 s       853 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 808       23       5.0       10.5       116 </td <td>3230</td> <td>212</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>12.1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	3230	212	0.6	12.1			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } 1158 & 27 & 3.6 & 8.9 & 1155 w & 1158 \\ 1127 & 24 & 1.8 & 4.1 \\ 1094 & 28 & 11.0 & 28.7 & 1100 ms & 1099 \\ 1073 & 16 & 26.5 & 39.7 & 1073 s & 1073 \\ 1053 & 20 & 20.5 & 37.6 & 1050 ms & 1052 \\ 1034 & 13 & 17.3 & 21.3 & 1035 ms & 1034 \\ 1024 & 15 & 10.9 & 14.8 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	1624	49	0.6	3.4			v <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1185	27	3.0	7.7	1185 w	1181	
1094         28         11.0         28.7         1100 ms         1099 $v_3 (SO_4)^{2^2}$ 1073         16         26.5         39.7         1073 s         1073           1053         20         20.5         37.6         1050 ms         1052           1034         13         17.3         21.3         1035 ms         1034           1024         15         10.9         14.8 $v_1 (SO_4)^{2^2}$ 1010         17         15.4         23.6         1015 w $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 959         9         1.6         1.4         956 $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 865         11         10.0         10.0         865 vs         863           852         20         16.7         31.4         850 s         853 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 840         15         33.2         47.7         Ibration modes of H_2O         10.5           659         26         3.4         9.1         Ibration modes of H_2O           646         22         1.0         2.1         645 w         646           611         20         1.8         3.0         615 w         14	1158	27	3.6	8.9	1155 w	1158	
1094       28       11.0       28.7       1100 ms       1099         1073       16       26.5       37.6       1073 s       1073         1053       20       20.5       37.6       1050 ms       1052         1034       13       17.3       21.3       1035 ms       1034         1024       15       10.9       14.8 $v_1 (SO_4)^2$ 1010       17       15.4       23.6       1015 w         959       9       1.6       1.4       956         931       21       1.1       2.6       930 vw       931         865       11       100.0       100.0       865 vs       863         852       20       16.7       31.4       850 s       853 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 840       15       33.2       47.7       Ibration modes of H_2O         808       23       5.0       10.5       Ibration modes of H_2O         659       26       3.4       9.1       646 $v_4 (SO_4)^{2^-}$ 611       20       1.8       3.0       615 w       614         600       10       1.5       1.4       600       Ibration mo	1127	24	1.8	4.1			(22.)2
1053         20         20.5         37.6         1050 ms         1052           1034         13         17.3         21.3         1035 ms         1034           1024         15         10.9         14.8 $v_1 (SO_4)^2$ .           1010         17         15.4         23.6         1015 w $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 959         9         1.6         1.4         956 $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 931         21         1.1         2.6         930 vw         931 $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 865         11         100.0         100.0         865 vs         863 $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 840         15         33.2         47.7 $u_1 (SO_4)^2$ . $u_1 (SO_4)^2$ .           808         23         5.0         10.5 $u_4 (SO_4)^2$ .           646         22         1.0         2.1         645 w         646           611         20         1.8         3.0         615 w         614           611         20         1.8         3.0         615 w         101           646         22         1.0         2.1         645 w         6	1094	28	11.0	28.7	1100 ms	1099	$v_{3} (SO_{4})^{2}$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } 1034 & 13 & 17.3 & 21.3 & 1035  ms & 1034 \\ 1024 & 15 & 10.9 & 14.8 & & & v_1(SO_4)^2 \\ \hline 1010 & 17 & 15.4 & 23.6 & 1015  w \\ \hline 959 & 9 & 1.6 & 1.4 & & 956 \\ 931 & 21 & 1.1 & 2.6 & 930  vw & 931 & & v_3(UO_2)^{2^+} \\ \hline 865 & 11 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 865  vs & 863 \\ \hline 852 & 20 & 16.7 & 31.4 & 850  s & 853 & v_1(UO_2)^{2^+} \\ \hline 840 & 15 & 33.2 & 47.7 & & & & \\ \hline 825 & 21 & 16.3 & 32.2 & & & & \\ \hline 808 & 23 & 5.0 & 10.5 & & & & \\ \hline 808 & 23 & 5.0 & 10.5 & & & & \\ \hline 808 & 23 & 5.0 & 10.5 & & & & \\ \hline 646 & 22 & 1.0 & 2.1 & 645  w & 646 \\ \hline 611 & 20 & 1.8 & 3.0 & 615  w & 614 & & \\ \hline 600 & 10 & 1.5 & 1.4 & & 600 & & \\ \hline 647 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & 1.5 & 1.4 & & 600 & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & 1.5 & 1.4 & & 600 & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 18 & 0.6 & 1.0 & & & & \\ \hline 547 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 1.5 & & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 1.5 & & & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & \\ \hline 540 & 10 & 0 & 0 & & \\ \hline 54$	1073	16	26.5	39.7	1073 s	1073	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1053	20	20.5	37.6	1050 ms	1052	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1034	13	17.3	21.3	1035 ms	1034	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1024	15	10.9	14.8			v <sub>1</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> )²-
931         21         1.1         2.6         930 vw         931 $v_3 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 865         11         100.0         100.0         865 vs         863           852         20         16.7         31.4         850 s         853 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 840         15         33.2         47.7         Ibration modes of H2O           825         21         16.3         32.2         Ibration modes of H2O           808         23         5.0         10.5 $v_4 (SO_4)^{2^4}$ 646         22         1.0         2.1         645 w         646           611         20         1.8         3.0         615 w         614           600         10         1.5         1.4         600         ibration mode of H2O           474         30         2.7         6.3         470 w         470           458         18         2.1         3.3         457           431         39         5.4         16.9         430 w         446, 431 $v_2 (SO_4)^{2^2}$ 392         27         5.2         13.1 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 20           205	1010	17	15.4	23.6	1015 w		
931211.12.6930 vw9311.11.186511100.0100.0865 vs8638522016.731.4850 s853 $v_1 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 8401533.247.7Iibration modes of H_2O808235.010.5Iibration modes of H_2O659263.49.1 $v_4 (SO_4)^{2^-}$ 646221.02.1645 w646611201.83.0615 w614600101.51.4600547180.61.0Iibration mode of H_2O474302.76.3470 w470458182.13.3457431395.416.9430 w446, 431 $v_2 (SO_4)^{2^-}$ 392275.213.1 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 250278.620.0255 ms255 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 20591.91.5 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 1832415.137.0 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 16.81832415.137.0Iattice modes150176.88.6160, 150 wIattice modes121170.20.3Iattice modes101291.64.34.3Iattice modes	959	9	1.6	1.4		956	(110.)0:
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	931	21	1.1	2.6	930 vw	931	$v_3 (UO_2)^{2+}$
840       15       33.2       47.7         825       21       16.3       32.2       libration modes of $H_2O$ 808       23       5.0       10.5       libration modes of $H_2O$ 659       26       3.4       9.1 $_{4}(SO_4)^{2}$ .         646       22       1.0       2.1       645 w       646         611       20       1.8       3.0       615 w       614         600       10       1.5       1.4       600         547       18       0.6       1.0       libration mode of $H_2O$ 474       30       2.7       6.3       470 w       470         458       18       2.1       3.3       457         431       39       5.4       16.9       430 w       446, 431 $v_2$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2.</sup> 392       27       5.2       13.1 $v_2$ (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2.+</sup> 250       27       8.6       20.0       255 ms $255$ 217       24       13.2       31.4       210 s $v \cup O_{eq}$ -ligand         197       21       17.9       35.0       200 s $v \cup O_{eq}$ -ligand         183	865	11	100.0	100.0	865 vs	863	
825       21       16.3       32.2       libration modes of $H_2O$ 808       23       5.0       10.5       libration modes of $H_2O$ 659       26       3.4       9.1 $_{v_4}(SO_4)^{2\cdot}$ 646       22       1.0       2.1       645 w       646         611       20       1.8       3.0       615 w       614 $_{v_4}(SO_4)^{2\cdot}$ 600       10       1.5       1.4       600       600       600       614 $_{v_4}(SO_4)^{2\cdot}$ 600       10       1.5       1.4       600       Ibitration mode of $H_2O$ 474       30       2.7       6.3       470 w       470 $_{v_2}(SO_4)^{2\cdot}$ 431       39       5.4       16.9       430 w       446, 431 $v_2(SO_4)^{2\cdot}$ 392       27       5.2       13.1 $_{v_2}(UO_2)^{2+}$ 250       27       8.6       20.0       255 ms $255$ $v_2(UO_2)^{2+}$ 205       9       1.9       1.5 $v U - O_{eq} - ligand$ 197       21       17.9       35.0	852	20	16.7	31.4	850 s	853	ν <sub>1</sub> (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup>
808235.010.5Ibbration modes of $H_2O$ 659263.49.1 ${}^{}_{4}$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2.</sup> 646221.02.1645 w646611201.83.0615 w614600101.51.4600547180.61.0libration mode of $H_2O$ 474302.76.3470 w470458182.13.3457431395.416.9430 w446, 431 $v_2$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2.</sup> 392275.213.1366326.420.9250278.620.0255 ms2552172413.231.4210 s $v_2$ (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 20591.91.5 $v_2$ (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup> 1832415.137.0-150176.88.6160, 150 w121170.20.3lattice modes101291.64.3-	840	15	33.2	47.7			
808235.010.5659263.49.1646221.02.1645 w646611201.83.0615 w614600101.51.4600547180.61.0libration mode of H2O474302.76.3470 w470458182.13.3457431395.416.9430 w446, 431 v2 (SO4)2-392275.213.1-250278.620.0255 ms2552172413.231.4210 s $v_2 (UO_2)^{2+}$ 20591.91.5 $v U-O_{eq}$ -ligand1972117.935.0200 s $v U-O_{eq}$ -ligand1832415.137.01attice modes101291.64.31attice modes101291.64.34.3	825	21	16.3	32.2			
$            \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	808	23	5.0	10.5			libration modes of H <sub>2</sub> O
611201.83.0 $615 \text{ w}$ $614$ $v_4 (SO_4)^{2^2}$ 600101.51.4600547180.61.0libration mode of H2O474302.76.3470 w470458182.13.3457431395.416.9430 w446, 431 $v_2 (SO_4)^{2^2}$ 392275.213.1 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 366326.420.9 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^4}$ 2172413.231.4210 s $v \cup O_{eq}$ -ligand1972117.935.0200 s $v \cup O_{eq}$ -ligand1832415.137.0ss150176.88.6160, 150 ws121170.20.3lattice modes101291.64.3s	659	26	3.4	9.1			
611201.83.0615 w614600101.51.4600547180.61.0libration mode of $H_2O$ 474302.76.3470 w470458182.13.3457431395.416.9430 w446, 431 $v_2$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2</sup> 392275.213.1	646	22	1.0	2.1	645 w	646	(22.)2
547       18       0.6       1.0       libration mode of $H_2O$ 474       30       2.7       6.3       470 w       470         458       18       2.1       3.3       457         431       39       5.4       16.9       430 w       446, 431 $v_2 (SO_4)^2$ .         392       27       5.2       13.1       -       -         366       32       6.4       20.9       -       -         250       27       8.6       20.0       255 ms       255         217       24       13.2       31.4       210 s $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 205       9       1.9       1.5 $v_2 (UO_2)^{2^+}$ 183       24       15.1       37.0 $v U - O_{eq}$ -ligand         150       17       6.8       8.6       160, 150 w       lattice modes         121       17       0.2       0.3       lattice modes       161         101       29       1.6       4.3       juice       juice       juice         87       15       3.6       5.9       juice       juice       juice	611	20	1.8	3.0	615 w	614	$v_4 (SO_4)^{2^2}$
474       30       2.7       6.3       470 w       470         458       18       2.1       3.3       457         431       39       5.4       16.9       430 w       446, 431 $v_2$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2</sup> .         392       27       5.2       13.1	600	10	1.5	1.4		600	
458182.13.3457431395.416.9430 w446, 431 $v_2 (SO_4)^{2}$ 392275.213.1	547	18	0.6	1.0			libration mode of H <sub>2</sub> O
431395.416.9430 w446, 431 $v_2$ (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2-</sup> 392275.213.1	474	30	2.7	6.3	470 w	470	
$392$ $27$ $5.2$ $13.1$ $366$ $32$ $6.4$ $20.9$ $250$ $27$ $8.6$ $20.0$ $255 \text{ ms}$ $255$ $v_2 (UO_2)^{2+}$ $217$ $24$ $13.2$ $31.4$ $210 \text{ s}$ $v_2 (UO_2)^{2+}$ $205$ $9$ $1.9$ $1.5$ $197$ $v U-O_{eq}$ -ligand $197$ $21$ $17.9$ $35.0$ $200 \text{ s}$ $v U-O_{eq}$ -ligand $183$ $24$ $15.1$ $37.0$ Iattice modes $150$ $17$ $6.8$ $8.6$ $160, 150 \text{ w}$ Iattice modes $121$ $17$ $0.2$ $0.3$ Iattice modes $101$ $29$ $1.6$ $4.3$ $3.6$ $5.9$	458	18	2.1	3.3		457	
$392$ $27$ $5.2$ $13.1$ $366$ $32$ $6.4$ $20.9$ $250$ $27$ $8.6$ $20.0$ $255 \text{ ms}$ $255$ $v_2 (UO_2)^{2+}$ $217$ $24$ $13.2$ $31.4$ $210 \text{ s}$ $v_2 (UO_2)^{2+}$ $205$ $9$ $1.9$ $1.5$ $197$ $v U-O_{eq}$ -ligand $197$ $21$ $17.9$ $35.0$ $200 \text{ s}$ $v U-O_{eq}$ -ligand $183$ $24$ $15.1$ $37.0$ Iattice modes $150$ $17$ $6.8$ $8.6$ $160, 150 \text{ w}$ Iattice modes $121$ $17$ $0.2$ $0.3$ Iattice modes $101$ $29$ $1.6$ $4.3$ $3.6$ $5.9$	431	39			430 w	446, 431	v <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>2-</sup>
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	392	27	5.2	13.1			2 7
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	366	32	6.4	20.9			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	250	27			255 ms	255	
205         9         1.9         1.5         ν U-O <sub>eq</sub> -ligand           197         21         17.9         35.0         200 s         ν U-O <sub>eq</sub> -ligand           183         24         15.1         37.0         s         s           150         17         6.8         8.6         160, 150 w         s           121         17         0.2         0.3         lattice modes           101         29         1.6         4.3         s           87         15         3.6         5.9	217	24	13.2	31.4	210 s		v <sub>2</sub> (UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>2+</sup>
197         21         17.9         35.0         200 s         v U-O <sub>eq</sub> -ligand           183         24         15.1         37.0	205	9					
183       24       15.1       37.0         150       17       6.8       8.6       160, 150 w         121       17       0.2       0.3       lattice modes         101       29       1.6       4.3       15         87       15       3.6       5.9		21			200 s		v U-O <sub>eq</sub> -ligand
150       17       6.8       8.6       160, 150 w         121       17       0.2       0.3       lattice modes         101       29       1.6       4.3       15         87       15       3.6       5.9       5.9							
121       17       0.2       0.3       lattice modes         101       29       1.6       4.3         87       15       3.6       5.9		17			160, 150 w		
101291.64.387153.65.9					-		lattice modes
87 15 3.6 5.9							
					and band are	a; * Kamp	of et al. (2017a); ** Vlček

*I<sub>rel.</sub>* calculated from peak height and band area; \* Kampf et al. (2017a); \*\* Vlček et al. (2009)



Fig. 6 Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov (split at 2000 cm<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 8 Results of the band component analysis in the Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov (1800 - 1400 cm<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 10 Results of the band component analysis in the Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov (910 - 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 7 Results of the band component analysis in the Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov (4000 - 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 9 Results of the band component analysis in the Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov (1240 - 910 cm<sup>-1</sup>).



**Fig. 11** Results of the band component analysis in the Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov (700 - 300 cm<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 12 Results of the band component analysis in the Raman spectrum of shumwayite from Jáchymov (300 - 80 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

cm<sup>-1</sup> with shoulders at 1127 and 1094 cm<sup>-1</sup> together with medium strong bands at 1073 and 1053 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 9) are assigned to the split triply degenerate v<sub>3</sub> (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sup>2-</sup> antisymmetric stretching vibrations. Kampf et al. (2017a) presented a set of five bands between 1185 and 1050 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Medium strong bands at 1034, 1024 and 1010 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to the v<sub>1</sub> (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sup>2-</sup> symmetric stretching vibrations.

Very weak bands at 959 and 931 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 9) are assigned to the  $v_3$  (UO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2+</sup> antisymmetric stretching vibrations, corresponding to U–O bond lengths in uranyl 1.75 - 1.77 Å, and very strong and strong bands at 865, 852 and 840 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 10) are assigned to the  $v_1$  (UO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2+</sup> symmetric stretching vibrations, corresponding to U–O bond lengths in uranyl 1.74 - 1.76 Å (Bartlett, Cooney 1989). The inferred U–O bond lengths for the uranyl ion are comparable with data derived from the X-ray studies for shumwayite 1.75 - 1.77 Å (Kampf et al. 2017a). Weak shoulders at 825 and 808 cm<sup>-1</sup> may be associated with the libration modes of H<sub>2</sub>O molecules.

Very weak and weak bands at 659, 646 and 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a shoulder at 611 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 11) are connected with the split triply degenerate  $v_4$  ( $\delta$ ) (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sup>2-</sup> bending vibrations. A very weak band at 547 cm<sup>-1</sup> may be connected with the libration mode of water molecules. Weak and very weak bands at 474, 458, 431, 392 and 363 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the split doubly degenerate  $v_2$  ( $\delta$ ) (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sup>2-</sup> bending vibrations. Kampf et al. (2017a) attributed bands at 645 and 615 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 470 and 438 cm<sup>-1</sup> to the  $v_4$  ( $\delta$ ) and  $v_2$  ( $\delta$ ) (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sup>2-</sup>, respectively.

Medium strong band at 250 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a shoulder at 217 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 12) may be related to the  $v_2$  ( $\delta$ ) (UO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2-</sup> doubly degenerate bending vibrations. Kampf assigned a medium strong band at 255 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a shoulder at 273 cm<sup>-1</sup> to these vibrations. Some bands between 400 and 197 cm<sup>-1</sup>, hovever, may be attributed to the v (U–O<sub>ligand</sub>) stretching vibrations of the U–O<sub>equatorial</sub> bonds in uranyl pentagonal bipyramidal coordination polyhedra (Volod'ko et al. 1981). Weak and very weak bands at 150, 121 and 87 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a shoulder at 101 cm<sup>-1</sup> relate to lattice modes. They correspond to weak bands at 160 and 150 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the Raman spectrum of shumwayite published by Kampf et al. (2017a). These authors assigned the two bands to  $-O_{eq}$  –U– $O_{eq}$  bending vibrations, according to Ohwada (1976).

#### Conclusion

Some of the characteristics of the crystal structure of the well-defined sample of shumwayite from Jáchymov (the second world occurrence) have been described using the Raman spectroscopy. It shows the presence of both  $(UO_2)^{2+}$  and  $(SO_4)^{2-}$  units in the crystal structure of shumwayite. Multiple bands connected with vibrations of water molecules document that molecular water is involved in different coordination environments in the structure of shumwayite due to differing hydrogen-bond strengths.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their thanks to Radek Škoda (Masaryk University, Brno) and Luboš Vrtiška and Lenka Váchová (National Museum, Prague) for their kind support in this study. This work was financially supported by Czech Science Foundation (project GAČR 17-09161S).

#### References

- BARTLETT JR, COONEY RP (1989) On the determination of uranium-oxygen bond lengths in dioxouranium(VI) compounds by Raman spectroscopy. J Mol Struct 193: 295-300
- BRUGGER J, MEISSER N, BURNS PC (2003) Contribution to the mineralogy of acid drainage of uranium minerals: marecottite and the zippeite-group. Am Miner 88(4): 676-685
- BURNHAM CH W (1962) Lattice constant refinement. Carnegie Inst Washington Year Book 61: 132-135
- Čејка J (1999) Infrared spectroscopy and thermal analysis of the uranyl minerals. Rev Mineral 38: 521-622
- Čејка J, Sejkora J, Mrázek Z, Urbanec Z., Jarchovský T (1996) Jáchymovite, (UO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>8</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)(OH)<sub>14</sub>.13H<sub>2</sub>O, a new uranyl mineral from Jáchymov, the Krušné Hory Mts., Czech Republic, and its comparison with uranopilite. N Jb Miner, Abh 170: 155-170
- FERNANDES HM, VEIGA LHS, FRANKLIN MR, PRADO VCS,TA-DDEI JF (1995) Environmental impact assessment of uranium mining and milling facilities; a study case at the Poços de Caldas uranium mining and milling site, Brazil. J Geochem Explor 52(1-2): 161-173
- FINCH RJ, MURAKAMI T (1999) Systematics and paragenesis of uranium minerals. Rev Mineral 38: 91-180
- HLOUŠEK J, PLÁŠIL J, SEJKORA J, ŠKÁCHA P (2014) News and new minerals from Jáchymov, Czech Republic (2003 -2014). Bull mineral-petrolog Odd Nár Muz (Praha) 22: 155-181 (in Czech)
- KAMPF AR, PLÁŠIL J, KASATKIN AV, MARTY J, ČEJKA J, LAP-ČÁK L (2017a) Shumwayite, [(UO<sub>2</sub>)(SO<sub>4</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, a new uranyl sulfate mineral from Red Canyon, San Juan County, Utah, USA. Mineral Mag 81(2): 273-285
- KAMPF AR, SEJKORA J, WITZKE T, PLÁŠIL J, ČEJKA J, NASH BP, MARTY J (2017b) Rietveldite, Fe(UO<sub>2</sub>)(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>, a new uranyl sulfate mineral from Giveaway-Simplot mine (Utah, USA), Willi Agatz mine (Saxony, Germany) and Jáchymov (Czech Republic). J Geosci 62(2): 107-120
- KRIVOVICHEV SV, PLÁŠIL J (2013) Mineralogy and crystallography of uranium. In: Burns PC, Sigmon GE (eds) Uranium: From Cradle to Grave. Mineralogical Association of Canada Short Courses 43: 15-119
- LIBOWITZKY E (1999) Correlation of O-H stretching frequencies and O-H×××O hydrogen bond lengths in minerals. Monatsh Chem 130: 1047-1059

- NAKAMOTO K (2009) Infrared and Raman spectra of inorganic and coordination compounds Part A Theory and applications in inorganic chemistry. John Wiley and Sons Inc. Hoboken, New Jersey
- OHWADA K (1976) Infrared spectroscopic studies of some uranyl nitrate complexes. J Coor Chem 6: 75-80
- ONDRUŠ P (1993) ZDS A computer program for analysis of X-ray powder diffraction patterns. Materials Science Forum, 133-136, 297-300, EPDIC-2. Enchede.
- ONDRUŠ P, VESELOVSKÝ F, HLOUŠEK J, SKÁLA R, FRÝDA J, ČEJ-KA J, GABAŠOVÁ A (1997a) Secondary minerals of the Jáchymov (Joachimsthal) ore district. J Czech Geol Soc 42: 3-76
- ONDRUŠ P, VESELOVSKÝ F, SKÁLA R, CÍSAŘOVÁ I, HLOUŠEK J, FRÝDA J, VAVŘÍN I, ČEJKA J, GABAŠOVÁ A (1997b) New naturally occurring phases of secondary origin from Jáchymov (Joachimsthal). J Czech Geol Soc 42: 77-107
- ONDRUŠ P, VESELOVSKÝ F, GABAŠOVÁ A, DRÁBEK M, DOBEŠ P, MALÝ K, HLOUŠEK J, SEJKORA J (2003a) Ore-forming processes and mineral parageneses of the Jáchymov ore district. J Czech Geol Soc 48: 157-192
- ONDRUŠ P, VESELOVSKÝ F, GABAŠOVÁ A, HLOUŠEK J, ŠREIN V (2003b) Geology and hydrothermal vein system of the Jáchymov (Joachimsthal) ore district. J Czech Geol Soc 48: 3-18
- ONDRUŠ P, VESELOVSKÝ F, GABAŠOVÁ A, HLOUŠEK J, ŠREIN V (2003c) Supplement to secondary and rock-forming minerals of the Jáchymov ore district. J Czech Geol Soc 48: 149-155

- ONDRUŠ P, VESELOVSKÝ F, GABAŠOVÁ A, HLOUŠEK J, ŠREIN V, VAVŘÍN I, SKÁLA R, SEJKORA J, DRÁBEK M (2003d) Primary minerals of the Jáchymov ore district. J Czech Geol Soc 48: 19-147
- PLÁŠIL J (2014) Oxidation–hydration weathering of uraninite: the current state-of-knowledge. J Geosci 59: 99-114
- PLÁŠIL J, SEJKORA J, ŠKODA R, ŠKÁCHA P (2014) The recent weathering of uraninite from the Červená vein, Jáchymov (Czech Republic): a fingerprint of the primary mineralization geochemistry onto the alteration association. J Geosci 59: 223–253
- Роиснои J, Рісноік F (1985) "PAP" (фрZ) procedure for improved quantitative microanalysis. In: Armstrong JT (ed): Microbeam Analysis: 104-106. San Francisco Press. San Francisco
- VAN DER PUTTEN N, LOOPSTRA BO (1974) Uranyl sulphate 2.5 H<sub>2</sub>O, UO<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>·2.5H<sub>2</sub>O. Cryst Struct Comm 3: 377-380
- VLČEK V, ČEJKA J, CÍSAŘOVÁ I, GOLIÁŠ V, PLÁŠIL J (2009) Crystal structure of UO<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>·2.5H<sub>2</sub>O: Full anisotropic refinement and vibration characteristics. J Mol Struct 936(1-3): 75-79
- VOLOD KO LV, KOMYAK AI, UMREYKO DS (1981) Uranyl compounds, Spectra, Structure. Vol. 1, Belorussian State University Minsk (in Russian).
- YVON K, JEITSCHKO W, PARTHÉ E (1977) Lazy Pulverix, a computer program for calculation X-ray and neutron diffraction powder patterns. J Appl Cryst 10: 73-74